

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,472	05/02/2001	Russell E. Stubbings	39130-1006	6902
7	590 07/29/2003			
Mitchell P. Brook LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS 11988 EL CAMINO REAL			EXAMINER	
			MORROW, JASON S	
SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer:	09/847,472	STUBBINGS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason S. Morrow	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>-</del>					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33 and 34</u> is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-21 and 23-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 22</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	p					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 13 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, the anti-skid surface is selected from a group, which includes an "a surface structured to resist a relative motion between the surface and an object placed on the surface". It is unclear what the wording is claiming. The objected to phrase seems to be the definition of an anti-skid surface. Claiming that the anti-skid surface can be an anti-skid surface does not further limit the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 14, 17, 18, 21, 23-30, and 32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot).

Re claim 1, Peugeot discloses a shelf for a vehicle interior, comprising a front section including a recess for a visor (figure 1) and an upper surface (the upper surface of 8 which

Art Unit: 3612

contacts the bottom of the radio 10) configured to receive at least one object (10), an elevated section (figure 3) structured to couple to the vehicle interior, and a rear section (see figure 3, the part of 7 to the left of the bolts)configured to contact the vehicle interior.

Re claim 3, the front section comprises a lower surface and an upper surface, with the lower surface configured to receive a visor, and the upper surface configured to receive one or more objects (10).

Re claim 5, a wall element (the front lip on the right hand side of the drawing) extends from at least one of the front section or the elevated section.

Re claim 6, the wall element extends along an edge of the elevated section and around the front section (see figure 2).

Re claim 7, the wall element is configured to engage a surface of the vehicle interior (see figures 2 and 3).

Re claim 8, the elevated section is configured to engage a surface of the vehicle interior (see figures 3).

Re claim 9, the elevated section comprises at least one aperture (through which 6 passes) configured to receive a visor fastener.

Re claim 11, the rear section comprises an edge configured to contact a surface of the vehicle interior.

Re claim 14, the shelf includes an electrical power source (12) coupled to the shelf.

Re claim 17, the shelf is configured for installation in a vehicle selected from the group consisting of passenger vehicles, trucks, pickup trucks, recreational vehicles, sport-utility vehicles, vans, buses and semi-trailer vehicles.

Art Unit: 3612

Re claim 18, Peugeot et al. discloses a shelf for a vehicle interior, comprising a first section comprising a lower surface and an upper surface, the lower surface configured to receive a visor (figure 1), with the upper surface configured to receive one or more objects, and a second section structured to receive a visor fastener (figure 3), the visor fastener coupling the shelf to the vehicle interior.

Re claim 21, a third section (the left hand part of 7 shown in figure 3 extending past the fasteners) extends from the second section and is structured to contact a surface of the vehicle interior.

Re claim 23, a lower surface of the first section includes a recess for receiving the visor (see figure 1).

Re claim 24, the second section comprises an elongated pocket for receiving a visor mount comprising a visor fastener and a visor base (see figure 1).

Re claim 25, Peugeot discloses a shelf for a vehicle interior comprising an elevated section comprising at least one attachment aperture (through which 6 passes) configured to receive a fastener for mounting the shelf to the vehicle interior, a front section (8) configured to receive one or more objects, with a weight of the objects creating a moment about the fastener, and a rear section (the left hand portion of 7 extending past the fastener 6) configured to contact a vehicle surface, thereby opposing the weight, and creating a counter-moment. Note that the moment and counter-moment are inherent to the construction of the prior art shown by Peugeot.

Re claim 26, the moment comprises a torque about the fastener and the rear section opposes the moment by exerting a force against the vehicle surface.

Art Unit: 3612

Re claim 27, the elevated section comprises a second attachment aperture configured to receive a second fastener (see figure 1).

Re claim 28, the fastener is a bolt (6).

Re claim 29, Peugeot discloses a method of attaching a shelf to a vehicle interior, the method comprising the steps of providing a shelf with at least one attachment aperture (through which 6 passes) located in an interior area of the shelf, attaching the shelf to the vehicle interior by placing a fastener through the attachment aperture, positioning a rear section of the shelf (the left hand portion of 7 extending past 6 in figure 3) against the vehicle interior so that a weight (10) placed on an upper surface of the shelf (as seen in figure 2) is resisted by the rear section contacting the vehicle interior.

Re claim 32, the weight, creates a moment about the attachment aperture, and the rear section resists the moment by contacting the vehicle interior.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French
  Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of Calkins.

Re claim 12, Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claim, as applied above, except for the shelf including an anti-skid surface.

Art Unit: 3612

Calkins teaches the use of anti-skid surfaces for shelving (column 2, lines 28 and 29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a shelf, such as that above, to include an anti-skid surface, as is old and well known in the art, in order to provide a known improvement to a shelf and assist in keeping an article carried by the shelf in place during movement of the vehicle.

7. Claim 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of the Dodge Brochure (Dodge).

Re claim 15 and 16, Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claims, as applied above, except for the shelf having a surface texture and color matched to the vehicle interior.

Dodge teaches interior accessories mounted to the roof of a vehicle interior having texture and color matched to the vehicle. Note that although the copy supplied to applicant is in black and white, the original is a color picture showing visors, roof, dash, and door panels matching in both color and textures.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a vehicle shelf, such as that above, to have a texture and color matched to the vehicle interior, as is old and well known in the art, in order to construct a vehicle that is desirable to the purchaser of a vehicle.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of Gilson.

Re claim 4, Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claim, as applied above, except for a net coupled to the front section.

Gilson teaches the use of a net (22) to restrain cargo.

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify a visor, such as that above, to include a net coupled to a front section, as taught by Gilson, in order to retrain cargo carried by the shelf and prevent it from moving rearward during hard acceleration of the vehicle.

9. Claims 10, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of German Publication Number 2515397 (Kriesche).

Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claims, as applied above, except for the elevated section including an aperture structured to receive a visor clip.

Kriesche teaches the use of an aperture structured to receive a visor clip (figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify an elevated section of a shelf, such as that disclosed by McCloy II et al., to include an aperture structured to receive a visor clip, as taught by Kriesche, in order to provide a more secure mounting for the shelf.

10. Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent Number 2366147 (Peugeot) in view of JC Whitney (JC).

Peugeot discloses all the limitations of the claim, as applied above, except for reattaching the visor to the vehicle interior so that the shelf is captured between the vehicle interior and the shelf and one or more vehicle components selected from the group consisting of headliners, overhead consoles, window trim, and window moldings.

JC teaches a shelf capturing between the vehicle interior and the shelf a headliner (see the second page).

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/847,472

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a console, such as that above, to re-attach the visor to the vehicle interior so that the shelf captures between the vehicle interior and the shelf and one or more vehicle components selected from the group consisting of headliners, overhead consoles, window trim, and window moldings, as taught by JC, in order to easily install the shelf without making modifications to the vehicle headliner.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 2 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Claims 33 and 34 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 5/16/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with regard to any of the art rejections. The drawing objections have been removed.

First, Applicant argues that the claim language of "a width of the shelf is substantially equal to a width of the visor" should mean up to six inches large than a vehicle visor. However, when the Examiner indicated allowable subject matter, the Examiner interpreted "substantially" to mean the shelf is to be sized to the visor and could extend a nominal amount larger than the visor. However, six inches is substantially *larger* than a visor, since most visors are only about 12 inches in length. Thus, the allowable subject matter that applicant refers to is still allowed,

Art Unit: 3612

but only as the Examiner interpreted it according to the first time the subject matter was indicated allowable. No standard for "substantially equal" was originally given in the specification. Accordingly, if applicant wishes the phrase "substantially equal" to have specific meaning in the claims, then the phrase should be substituted for the specific range of length that the applicant desires.

Regarding claims 1, 18, 25, and 29, applicant argues that the Peugot reference does not disclose "the upper surface being configured to receive one or more objects". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The radio set is an object and it is supported by an upper surface of the shelf. Therefore, Peugot does meet all the limitations of the claim. Furthermore, just because the reference discloses a radio set as the object does not mean than the shelf is not configured to receive a variety of other objects. The radio set can easily be removed and substituted.

Applicant also argues that the Dodge brochure does not teach the vehicle shelf having a texture and color matched to the vehicle interior and does not have a date of publication. The date of publication is printed at the bottom of the third page of the supplied pages as "7/99". Unfortunately, the Examiner's copy of the brochure is in color, but no color copying facilities are available for the Examiner's use to supply a color copy of the reference. The reference does show color and texture matching and the original is available for Applicant's perusal during a personal interview. However, matching accessories to a vehicle interior in color and texture is well known in the art. Any car commercially produced has visors and interior components color and texture matched. While they may not be identical colors and textures, they are matched to provide aesthetically appealing effects.

Art Unit: 3612

Finally applicant argues that the JC Whitney reference fails to teach attaching the visor to the vehicle interior so that the shelf is captured between the vehicle interior and one or more vehicle components. However, applicant gives no reasoning for making such an assertion and thus the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 14.

examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-7803.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9327 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9326 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Jason S. Morrow

Examiner

Art Unit 3612

jsm

July 24, 2003